

# Subsite Preferences of Pepstatin-Insensitive Carboxyl Proteinases from Bacteria<sup>1</sup>

Shoji Narutaki,\* Ben M. Dunn,<sup>1</sup> and Kohei Oda\*<sup>2</sup>

\*Department of Applied Biology, Faculty of Textile Science, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8585; and <sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL, 32610-0245, USA

Received August 31, 1998; accepted September 29, 1998

*Pseudomonas* sp. 101 carboxyl proteinase (PCP) and *Xanthomonas* sp. T-22 carboxyl proteinase (XCP), the first and second unique carboxyl proteinases from prokaryotes to be isolated and characterized, are not inhibited by the classical carboxyl proteinase inhibitor pepstatin. In this study, we elucidated their subsite preferences by using a series of synthetic chromogenic substrates, Lys-Pro-Ile(P<sub>3</sub>)-Glu(P<sub>2</sub>)-Phe\*Nph-Arg(P<sub>2</sub>')-Leu(P<sub>3</sub>') (Nph is *p*-nitrophenylalanine, Phe\*Nph is the cleavage site) with systematic substitutions at the P<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>', and P<sub>3</sub>' positions. Among 45 substrates tested, the best substrate for PCP had a Leu replacement at the P<sub>2</sub> position ( $k_{\text{cat}} = 27.2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $K_m = 4.22 \mu\text{M}$ ,  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m = 6.43 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ), and that for XCP had an Ala replacement at the P<sub>3</sub> position ( $k_{\text{cat}} = 79.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $K_m = 6.05 \mu\text{M}$ ,  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m = 13.1 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ). PCP and XCP preferred such charged amino acid residues as Glu, Asp, Arg, or Lys at the P<sub>2</sub>' position. This suggested that the S<sub>2</sub>' subsites of PCP and XCP are occupied by hydrophilic residues, similar to that of pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from *Bacillus coagulans* J-4 [Shibata *et al.* (1998) *J. Biochem.* 124, 642–647]. In contrast, the S<sub>2</sub>' subsite of pepstatin-sensitive carboxyl proteinases (aspartic proteinases) is hydrophobic in nature. Thus, the hydrophilic nature of the S<sub>2</sub>' subsite appears to be a distinguishing feature of pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases.

**Key words:** bacterial proteinase, carboxyl proteinase, kinetic property, pepstatin-insensitive, subsite preferences.

Carboxyl proteinases, formerly called acid proteinases, are distributed in a wide range of organisms, including animals, plants, and microbes. These enzymes are classified into two groups on the basis of the pepstatin sensitivity (1–4).

One group is the pepstatin-sensitive carboxyl proteinases, inhibited by pepstatin (5), S-PI (acetyl pepstatin) (6), and the active-site directed affinity labeling reagents 1,2-epoxy-3-(*p*-nitrophenoxy)propane (EPNP) (7) and diazoacetyl-DL-norleucine methyl ester (DAN) (8). In porcine pepsin, a pair of aspartyl residues, Asp<sup>32</sup> and Asp<sup>215</sup>, have been revealed to be essential for catalytic function (9). Therefore, pepstatin-sensitive carboxyl proteinases are called aspartic proteinases (10). Extensive sequence similarity has been observed among the enzymes of this group (11), and their tertiary structures, as far as they have been examined, are also very similar (12–15). These enzymes

have evolved from the same ancestral gene.

The other group is the pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases. In 1972 Murao and Oda *et al.* isolated four distinct pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases, A-1, A-2, B, and C, from *Scytalidium lignicolum* ATCC 24568 (16–19). None of them were inhibited by pepstatin, S-PI, DAN, or EPNP, with the exception of carboxyl proteinase B, which is inhibited by EPNP. Moreover, these enzymes showed unique substrate specificities (20–25). The amino acid sequence of carboxyl proteinase B is quite different from those of aspartic proteinases (26). These pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases have been found in a wide variety of microorganisms, such as *Aspergillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Xanthomonas*, and even thermophilic *Bacillus* (27–37). We are now focusing on pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases from prokaryotes.

*Pseudomonas* sp. 101 carboxyl proteinase (PCP) (32) and *Xanthomonas* sp. T-22 carboxyl proteinase (XCP) (33) are the first and second unique carboxyl proteinases to be purified from prokaryotes, regardless of the pepstatin sensitivity. PCP and XCP are not inhibited by pepstatin, S-PI, DAN, or EPNP; but they are inhibited by a competitive inhibitor, tyrostatin (*N*-isovaleryl-tyrosyl-leucyl-tyrosinal) (38) [ $K_i = 2.6 \text{ nM}$  for PCP (39) and  $2.1 \text{ nM}$  for XCP (40)]. Recently we have cloned, sequenced, and expressed both PCP and XCP genes (41, 42). PCP is produced as a precursor comprising an amino-terminal prepro region (215 a.a.) and the mature region (372 a.a.).

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 06660105 and Grant-in-Aid for International Scientific Research (Joint Research) 08044202 from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan (K.O.).

<sup>2</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: +81-75-724-7763, Fax: +81-75-724-7760, E-mail: bika@ipc.kit.ac.jp  
Abbreviations: S-PI, acetyl pepstatin; EPNP, 1,2-epoxy-3-(*p*-nitrophenoxy)propane; DAN, diazoacetyl-DL-norleucine methylester; PCP, *Pseudomonas* sp. 101 carboxyl proteinase; XCP, *Xanthomonas* sp. T-22 carboxyl proteinase; J-4, *Bacillus coagulans* J-4 carboxyl proteinase; Nph, *p*-nitrophenylalanine; a.a., amino acid residues.

XCP is produced as a precursor comprising an amino-terminal prepro region (237 a.a.), the mature region (398 a.a.), and a carboxyl-terminal pro region (198 a.a.). The primary structures of PCP (43) and XCP show no similarity to those of any pepstatin-sensitive carboxyl proteinases (aspartic proteinases). However, they exhibit high sequence identity (51.5%) to each other. Moreover, the consensus catalytic site sequence, Asp<sup>\*</sup>-Thr-Gly (Asp<sup>\*</sup>: catalytic residue), of pepstatin-sensitive carboxyl proteinases is also absent in both PCP and XCP. On the other hand, it was confirmed by the zinc(II)-PAD (pyridine-2-azo-*p*-dimethylaniline) method (44) and kinetic analysis (39) that PCP and XCP have a pair of catalytic carboxyl residues.

In this study, as a part of understanding the structure-function relationships of PCP and XCP, the substrate specificities of PCP and XCP were investigated by using a series of synthetic chromogenic substrates, which have the general structure Lys-Pro-Ile(P<sub>3</sub>)-Glu(P<sub>2</sub>)-Phe<sup>\*</sup>Nph-Arg(P<sub>2</sub>')-Leu(P<sub>3</sub>') (cleavage site; Phe<sup>\*</sup>Nph, Nph; *p*-nitro-L-phenylalanine). The amino acid residues of the P<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>', and P<sub>3</sub>' positions were systematically substituted in order to explore several subsites of the enzymes. The data obtained in this study, together with data on the alcohol-resistant pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from *Bacillus coagulans* J-4 (45), strongly suggest that the pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases from prokaryotes are characterized by the hydrophilic nature of the S<sub>2</sub>' subsite.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Enzymes**—The wild-type recombinant PCP and XCP were prepared as described previously (41, 42). Both gave single bands on SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The protein concentrations were determined by amino acid analysis.

**Peptide Substrates**—The peptide substrates were synthesized and characterized as described previously (46, 47). They have the general structure Lys-Pro-Ile(P<sub>3</sub>)-Glu(P<sub>2</sub>)-Phe-Nph-Arg(P<sub>2</sub>')-Leu(P<sub>3</sub>') (P<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>', P<sub>3</sub>': various amino acids, Nph is *p*-nitrophenylalanine). All were pure by HPLC criteria and were readily soluble in water. Aqueous stock solutions of approximately 5 mM were prepared. The substrate concentrations were determined by amino acid analysis. In all cases, the position cleaved by PCP and XCP was between the Phe and Nph residues.

**Determination of Kinetic Parameters**—The cleavage of a substrate between the Phe and Nph residues was monitored at 37°C and pH 3.5 in 0.1 M sodium formate buffer. The average decrease in absorbance over the range 284–324 nm was monitored by using a Hewlett Packard 8452 Diode Array Spectrophotometer. For each kinetic assay, six tubes containing a mixture of the buffer and the enzyme were preincubated for 3 min at 37°C. Then substrates of at least six different concentrations were added and the absorbance changes were monitored using a multi-cell transporter. The initial linear rate of hydrolysis was measured to give the initial velocity (*v*). The *K<sub>m</sub>* and *V<sub>max</sub>* values were calculated by Lineweaver-Burk plotting, with at least six initial substrate concentrations. The *k<sub>cat</sub>* values were obtained with the equation:  $V_{max} = k_{cat}[E]$ , where [E] is the enzyme concentration. The estimated precision of *K<sub>m</sub>* and *k<sub>cat</sub>* values is within 20%.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aspartic proteinases (pepstatin-sensitive carboxyl proteinases) are involved in human diseases: *e.g.*, renin in hypertension and human immunodeficiency virus proteinase in AIDS. Kinetic and X-ray crystal structure analyses of aspartic proteinases have revealed that they have active sites consisting of at least seven subsites (48) and a distinct preference for cleaving between two hydrophobic residues (49). The subsites are located on both sides of the active site, four on the one side and three on the other. The preferred residue at each subsite forming such an extended binding cleft can be elucidated by using systematic series of synthetic substrates.

We have focused on the structure-function relationships of pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases from prokaryotes. These studies will play an important role in understanding the evolution of carboxyl proteinases. As reported previously, we have analyzed the substrate specificities of PCP and XCP by using the first series of substrates (parent substrate: Lys-Pro-Ala-Lys-Phe-Nph-Arg-Leu). In spite of their high sequence similarity, PCP and XCP showed quite different substrate specificities: PCP had a strict preference for substrates, while XCP showed a broad specificity.

In this study, the binding specificity of the S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>', and S<sub>3</sub>' subsites of PCP and XCP was investigated by assaying their kinetic parameters with a new series of substrates (parent substrate: Lys-Pro-Ile-Glu-Phe-Nph-Arg-Leu). This new series was utilized because it yielded more favorable kinetic than the first series. Thus, it was possible to obtain significantly more information on the preferences of PCP and XCP. The data are shown in Tables I–IV, respectively. In addition, we compared the specificity constants of PCP, XCP, and J-4 for substrates with the same P<sub>2</sub> residue but either Ile or Ala at the P<sub>3</sub> position (Table V).

(1) *The Effect of the P<sub>3</sub> Position*—In aspartic proteinases, the interactions at the S<sub>3</sub> subsite with inhibitor or substrate were reported to be significant (49–51). The effect of the P<sub>3</sub> replacement on hydrolysis of the new series is summarized in Table I. In the case of PCP, the peptide having Ala at the P<sub>3</sub> position was the best substrate. The specificity constant,  $k_{cat}/K_m$ , was  $3.30 \mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ . The P<sub>3</sub> Lys derivative was particularly unacceptable to many aspartic proteinases (data not shown). However, PCP cleaved effectively the peptides with such basic amino acid derivatives as Arg or Lys at P<sub>3</sub>. In contrast, the  $k_{cat}/K_m$  values for the derivatives with a negatively charged amino acid residue, Glu or Asp, decreased to about 13–21% of that for the parent substrate. PCP could not cleave the substrates having Phe and Tyr at the P<sub>3</sub> position. Thus, the S<sub>3</sub> subsite of PCP may be occupied by hydrophobic residues and negatively charged amino acid residues.

In the case of XCP, the substrate having Ala at the P<sub>3</sub> position showed the highest specificity constant,  $k_{cat}/K_m = 13.1 \mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ , among 45 substrates tested in this series. The  $k_{cat}/K_m$  values decreased in the following order: Ala, Arg, Ile, Ser, Gln. Like PCP, XCP preferred substrates with a positively charged amino acid residue at the P<sub>3</sub> position to those with a negatively charged residue. In the case of Phe and Tyr derivatives, which PCP could not

hydrolyze, the  $k_{cat}/K_m$  values of XCP were 57 and 39% of that for the parent substrate, respectively. Thus, the  $S_3$  subsite of XCP may be occupied by hydrophobic and negatively charged amino acid residues, similar to PCP.

Dunn *et al.* reported that most aspartic proteinases prefer a bulky and hydrophobic amino acid residue at the  $P_3$  position (46). However, in the case of *Endothia parasitica*, the  $S_3$  subsite has been shown to be occupied by negatively charged and hydrophobic amino acid residues by X-ray crystal structure analysis and substrate specificity. The  $S_3$  subsite of microbial enzymes may be much more versatile than that of mammal aspartic proteinases. Indeed, the  $S_3$  subsite of PCP and XCP was shown to be similar in nature to that of *Endothia parasitica* proteinase.

(2) *The Effect of the P<sub>2</sub> Position*—The most critical subsite preference of aspartic proteinases was observed in the  $S_2$  subsite (49, 50). From experiments with the first series of substrates, we reported that the hydrophobic interaction of the  $S_2$  subsite of PCP was particularly important, as in the case of cathepsin D and E (40). In this study, we investigated the effect of the  $P_2$  replacement on hydrolysis of the second series (Table II). PCP cleaved the peptide containing the  $P_2$  Leu derivative with the highest specificity constant ( $k_{cat}/K_m = 6.43 \mu M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ ) among all

substrates tested. The  $k_{cat}/K_m$  value was about twice of that for the parent substrate. As reported previously, PCP preferred the  $P_2$  Leu derivative in the earlier series of substrates. The  $k_{cat}/K_m$  value was  $8.16 \mu M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ . These data are in close agreement with each other. The substrate with  $P_2$  Lys was much less preferred. PCP cleaved the first series of substrates less effectively than the second series because the  $P_2$  position of the first series of substrates was fixed as a Lys residue. This feature was also observed for cathepsin D and E (52, 53).

XCP hydrolyzed more effectively substrates having a small Ala or Ser residue at the  $P_2$  position. The  $k_{cat}/K_m$  values were  $12.1 \mu M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$  and  $10.9 \mu M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ , respectively. It was reported that the  $P_2$  Pro substrate was disfavored by cathepsin E (52), porcine pepsin (54), and other aspartic proteinases (data not shown). XCP hydrolyzed effectively the substrates having Pro residue at the  $P_2$  position, similar to J-4. XCP preferred smaller amino acid residues at this position than PCP. A similar tendency has been observed for J-4 (45). Thus, XCP showed properties between PCP and J-4 in the  $P_2$  preference.

In the case of porcine pepsin, the  $k_{cat}/K_m$  values for such bulky and hydrophobic amino acid residues as Nle, Leu, Ile were similar (54). PCP and XCP preferentially hydrolyzed

TABLE I. Kinetic parameters for hydrolysis of peptides with  $P_2$  substitutions by PCP and XCP.

Substrate								PCP			XCP		
$P_4$	$P_3$	$P_2$	$P_1$	$P_1'$	$P_2'$	$P_3'$		$k_{cat}$ ( $s^{-1}$ )	$K_m$ ( $\mu M$ )	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ )	$k_{cat}$ ( $s^{-1}$ )	$K_m$ ( $\mu M$ )	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ )
Lys	Pro	Ala	Glu	Phe	Nph	Arg	Leu	57.6 ± 1.64	17.4 ± 0.45	3.30 ± 0.09	79.4 ± 1.13	6.05 ± 0.35	13.1 ± 0.79
		Ile*						32.4 ± 1.64	11.1 ± 1.64	2.92 ± 0.46	102 ± 1.76	10.6 ± 0.75	9.58 ± 0.70
		Arg						53.1 ± 1.12	22.4 ± 0.87	2.37 ± 0.10	105 ± 2.32	9.32 ± 0.69	11.2 ± 0.86
		Ser						20.4 ± 0.30	8.75 ± 0.44	2.33 ± 0.12	36.7 ± 0.33	3.90 ± 0.14	9.42 ± 0.35
		Lys						56.3 ± 0.83	27.5 ± 1.39	2.04 ± 0.11	96.4 ± 2.72	18.3 ± 1.13	5.27 ± 0.36
		Asn						47.1 ± 1.95	24.7 ± 2.10	1.91 ± 0.18	38.3 ± 0.98	11.5 ± 0.96	3.33 ± 0.29
		Gln						24.5 ± 0.24	16.2 ± 0.50	1.52 ± 0.05	73.9 ± 0.83	13.0 ± 0.72	5.67 ± 0.32
		Val						14.8 ± 0.39	11.5 ± 1.23	1.29 ± 0.14	28.1 ± 0.95	9.95 ± 0.95	2.83 ± 0.29
		Glu						3.82 ± 0.14	6.12 ± 0.77	0.62 ± 0.08	62.4 ± 2.35	12.0 ± 1.14	5.18 ± 0.53
		Thr						7.92 ± 0.27	13.2 ± 1.51	0.60 ± 0.07	37.0 ± 0.73	7.10 ± 0.55	5.21 ± 0.41
		Asp						9.68 ± 0.48	24.2 ± 3.61	0.40 ± 0.06	18.1 ± 0.37	13.9 ± 0.58	1.31 ± 0.06
		Leu						3.10 ± 0.13	8.84 ± 1.13	0.35 ± 0.05	15.3 ± 0.26	11.4 ± 0.54	1.35 ± 0.07
		Phe						P.C.			57.5 ± 2.74	10.5 ± 1.36	5.47 ± 0.75
		Tyr						P.C.			8.45 ± 0.21	2.24 ± 0.18	3.78 ± 0.31

\* indicates the parent substrate. P.C., poorly cleaved under the standard assay conditions (0.1 M sodium formate buffer, pH 3.5).

TABLE II. Kinetic parameters for hydrolysis of peptides with  $P_2$  substitutions by PCP and XCP.

Substrate								PCP			XCP		
$P_4$	$P_3$	$P_2$	$P_1$	$P_1'$	$P_2'$	$P_3'$		$k_{cat}$ ( $s^{-1}$ )	$K_m$ ( $\mu M$ )	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ )	$k_{cat}$ ( $s^{-1}$ )	$K_m$ ( $\mu M$ )	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ )
Lys	Pro	Ile	Leu	Phe	Nph	Arg	Leu	27.2 ± 0.42	4.22 ± 0.47	6.43 ± 0.73	16.8 ± 0.51	2.14 ± 0.37	7.87 ± 1.37
		Glu*						32.4 ± 1.64	11.1 ± 1.64	2.92 ± 0.46	102 ± 1.76	10.6 ± 0.75	9.58 ± 0.70
		Thr						22.9 ± 0.81	10.6 ± 1.00	2.17 ± 0.22	30.5 ± 0.82	4.51 ± 0.43	6.75 ± 0.67
		Ala						27.7 ± 0.73	13.1 ± 0.90	2.12 ± 0.16	60.5 ± 0.68	5.00 ± 0.28	12.1 ± 0.68
		Nle						14.8 ± 0.21	7.13 ± 0.44	2.07 ± 0.13	39.8 ± 1.16	66.2 ± 3.73	0.60 ± 0.04
		Val						15.7 ± 0.80	7.82 ± 0.96	2.00 ± 0.27	26.9 ± 0.56	4.45 ± 0.38	6.03 ± 0.53
		Asp						20.9 ± 0.99	10.6 ± 1.39	1.97 ± 0.27	18.6 ± 0.14	2.60 ± 0.10	7.14 ± 0.27
		Ser						14.8 ± 0.51	9.99 ± 0.78	1.48 ± 0.13	56.8 ± 1.34	5.23 ± 0.44	10.9 ± 0.95
		Arg						12.5 ± 0.70	10.0 ± 1.54	1.25 ± 0.20	43.3 ± 1.22	13.5 ± 1.23	3.20 ± 0.31
		Pro						29.3 ± 0.88	27.5 ± 1.76	1.07 ± 0.08	57.9 ± 1.16	7.82 ± 0.56	7.40 ± 0.55
		Ile						18.3 ± 0.46	17.0 ± 0.84	1.07 ± 0.06	19.4 ± 0.81	7.16 ± 1.10	2.71 ± 0.43
		Gln						10.4 ± 0.18	20.7 ± 1.08	0.50 ± 0.03	48.8 ± 0.77	12.6 ± 0.58	3.87 ± 0.19
		Asn						8.79 ± 0.64	18.9 ± 2.49	0.46 ± 0.07	35.7 ± 0.61	5.31 ± 0.44	6.72 ± 0.57
		Lys						9.75 ± 0.22	56.4 ± 2.52	0.17 ± 0.01	16.6 ± 0.53	17.3 ± 1.37	0.96 ± 0.08
		His						2.93 ± 0.05	22.9 ± 0.97	0.13 ± 0.01	23.1 ± 0.58	10.1 ± 0.94	2.30 ± 0.22

\* indicates the parent substrate.

the substrate with hydrophobic side chain Leu at the P<sub>2</sub> position. But the  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  for  $\beta$ -branched Ile was 17 and 34% of that of the P<sub>2</sub> Leu derivatives, respectively. Furthermore, they showed poor cleavage of the P<sub>2</sub> Nle derivative. Thus, PCP and XCP showed strict preferences for bulky and hydrophobic amino acid residues at the P<sub>2</sub> position.

(3) *The Effect of the P<sub>2</sub>' Position*—The S<sub>2</sub>' subsite of aspartic proteinases reported so far has been shown to be hydrophobic by X-ray crystal structure analysis. We examined the specificity of the S<sub>2</sub>' subsite of PCP and XCP (Table III). In the case of PCP, the  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  value of the P<sub>2</sub>' derivatives in the earlier series of substrates did not vary greatly. In this study, differences were found in the  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  value in the new series of substrates. A Glu residue at the P<sub>2</sub>' position was found to yield the most favorable substrate in this series. PCP preferred the substrates having a charged amino acid residue, Asp, Arg, or Lys, at the P<sub>2</sub>' position, which suggested us that the S<sub>2</sub>' subsite of PCP mainly consisted of hydrophilic residues. In addition, PCP showed a low  $K_m$  value for the P<sub>2</sub>' Leu derivative. We also observed a low  $K_m$  value for the P<sub>2</sub>' Leu derivative in the first series. These data suggested the hydrophobic nature of the interactions between the S<sub>2</sub>' subsite of PCP and the P<sub>2</sub>' position of substrates. But their  $k_{\text{cat}}$  values were also low. Therefore, PCP did not show high activity.

The most effective substrate for XCP was the parent substrate with Arg at the P<sub>2</sub>' position. XCP also hydrolyzed effectively the substrate having a negatively charged Glu residue at the P<sub>2</sub>' position, similar to PCP (Table III). The P<sub>2</sub>' Asp derivative was less favorable than the P<sub>2</sub>' Glu derivative. This characteristic has been observed for J-4. XCP does not prefer such hydrophobic amino acid residues

as Leu, Ile, or Val at the P<sub>2</sub>' position.

Thus, these results indicated that the hydrophilic interactions between the S<sub>2</sub>' subsites of both enzymes and the P<sub>2</sub>' position of substrates are most important. This feature may be considered as a common characteristic of bacterial pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases (PCP, XCP, and J-4) reported so far.

(4) *The Effect of the P<sub>3</sub>' Position*—The effect of the P<sub>3</sub>' replacement is summarized in Table IV. PCP preferred the substrates having Ser and Asp at the P<sub>3</sub>' position. The  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  values increased approximately 1.5 times over that for the parent substrate. PCP did not prefer the P<sub>3</sub>' Arg derivative. The  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  values for bulky hydrophobic Ile and Leu (parent substrate) were almost the same, whereas their  $k_{\text{cat}}$  and  $K_m$  values were different. That is, PCP showed different preferences for P<sub>3</sub>' Ile and Leu.

XCP preferred the substrates with Asp or Leu at this position. The  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  values are approximately  $10 \mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ . The  $k_{\text{cat}}$  value of the P<sub>3</sub>' Ile derivative was 27% of that for the parent substrate. This  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  value of the P<sub>3</sub>' Ile derivative was thus lower than that of the parent substrate. In the same way, XCP did not prefer the Ala derivative. The difference of side chain of Leu and Val did not greatly affect the preference of the S<sub>3</sub>' subsite of XCP. XCP hydrolyzed the P<sub>3</sub>' Asp derivative more effectively than that with a positively charged amino acid residue (Arg). Thus, XCP favored small hydrophilic residues or bulky hydrophobic residues at the P<sub>3</sub>' position.

(5) *Comparison of the Two Series of Substrates*—Finally, we compared the specificity constants of PCP, XCP, and J-4 for the substrates with the same P<sub>2</sub> residue but having either a bulky P<sub>3</sub> Ile residue (second series) or a

TABLE III. Kinetic parameters for hydrolysis of peptides with P<sub>2</sub>' substitutions by PCP and XCP.

Substrate								PCP			XCP		
P <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> '	P <sub>2</sub> '	P <sub>3</sub> '	$k_{\text{cat}}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{\text{cat}}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
Lys	Pro	Ile	Glu	Phe	Nph	Glu	Leu	33.3±1.13	9.01±1.15	3.70±0.49	189±6.19	21.7±1.55	8.71±0.68
						Asp		33.0±1.56	9.46±1.17	3.49±0.46	87.9±2.76	21.3±1.59	4.13±0.33
						Arg*		32.4±1.64	11.1±1.64	2.92±0.46	102±1.76	10.6±0.75	9.58±0.70
						Lys		54.1±0.08	18.9±0.24	2.87±0.37	43.7±1.76	15.8±1.31	2.77±0.26
						Ala		19.0±0.52	8.27±0.87	2.30±0.25	99.1±3.55	17.4±1.47	5.68±0.52
						Leu		8.38±0.31	5.67±0.81	1.48±0.22	31.0±0.60	28.3±1.39	1.10±0.06
						Ser		11.8±0.52	14.0±1.35	0.85±0.09	40.4±1.41	16.4±1.85	2.46±0.29
						Asn		12.9±0.42	30.9±2.22	0.42±0.03	32.7±1.98	18.3±2.34	1.79±0.25
						Ile		5.24±0.10	15.3±1.03	0.34±0.02	16.1±0.59	9.77±0.89	1.65±0.16
						Val		5.37±0.31	28.0±2.61	0.19±0.02	8.57±0.33	13.8±1.21	0.62±0.06

\* indicates the parent substrate.

TABLE IV. Kinetic parameters for hydrolysis of peptides with P<sub>3</sub>' substitutions by PCP and XCP.

Substrate								PCP			XCP		
P <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> '	P <sub>2</sub> '	P <sub>3</sub> '	$k_{\text{cat}}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{\text{cat}}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
Lys	Pro	Ile	Glu	Phe	Nph	Arg	Ser	44.4±2.19	10.1±1.08	4.41±0.52	71.3±2.08	9.82±0.93	7.26±0.72
						Asp		40.7±0.97	9.62±0.70	4.23±0.33	101±2.27	9.95±0.74	10.1±0.79
						Ile		10.9±0.24	3.70±0.33	2.92±0.27	27.3±0.69	8.24±0.67	3.31±0.28
						Leu*		32.4±1.64	11.1±1.64	2.92±0.46	102±1.76	10.6±0.75	9.58±0.70
						Ala		15.5±0.22	6.97±0.35	2.23±0.12	24.7±0.59	7.63±0.57	3.24±0.26
						Val		11.6±0.41	5.47±0.77	2.11±0.31	30.9±0.60	5.32±0.34	5.80±0.39
						Tyr		9.87±0.24	6.27±0.81	1.57±0.21	27.6±0.85	9.44±0.85	2.92±0.28
						Phe		10.7±0.48	7.40±1.26	1.44±0.25	26.7±0.79	7.46±0.75	3.58±0.37
						Arg		3.64±0.08	5.42±0.60	0.67±0.08	15.5±0.23	6.30±0.31	2.47±0.13

\* indicates the parent substrate.

TABLE V. Specificity constants of PCP, XCP, and J-4 for hydrolysis of P<sub>2</sub> derivatives of two series of substrates.

Amino acid P <sub>2</sub>	PCP		XCP		J-4 <sup>a</sup>	
	P <sub>3</sub> Ile	P <sub>3</sub> Ala	P <sub>3</sub> Ile	P <sub>3</sub> Ala	P <sub>3</sub> Ile	P <sub>3</sub> Ala
	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{cat}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
Lys	0.17	0.15	0.96	4.35	0.004	0.012
Ala	2.12	2.48	12.1	2.81	0.628	0.769
Asp	1.97	0.52	7.14	5.63	0.226	0.227
Leu	6.43	8.16	7.87	14.5	0.035	0.003
Arg	1.25	0.38	3.20	2.14	0.013	0.010
Ser	1.48	0.80	10.9	13.3	0.161	0.137

<sup>a</sup>Shibata *et al.* (45).

small Ala residue (first series) (Table V). The best substrate for PCP had the Leu substitution at the P<sub>2</sub> position in both series. Thus, PCP showed almost the same preference for the P<sub>3</sub> position (Ile or Ala). In general PCP preferred the series having bulky Ile at the P<sub>3</sub> position over the series of substrate with small Ala. These results suggested that the S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> pockets of PCP are large enough to accommodate such bulky amino acid residue as Leu or Ile.

XCP hydrolyzed the substrate with Leu at the P<sub>2</sub> position more effectively than that with Ala in the first series substrates. In the new series, XCP preferred the P<sub>2</sub> Ala or Ser derivatives. Thus, XCP showed different preferences for the P<sub>2</sub> residue in the two series. This suggested that a bulky Ile residue at the P<sub>3</sub> position may be difficult to fit in the available space of the S<sub>3</sub> pocket of XCP. Thus we can say that the S<sub>2</sub> pocket of XCP is large enough to accommodate Leu, but the S<sub>3</sub> pocket of XCP might be smaller than that of PCP. These data also suggest a linkage between the S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> subsites. The total space available can be occupied by only certain combination of residues in P<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>.

J-4 preferred the Ala derivatives in both series of substrates. This preference was so strict that J-4 did not cleave other derivatives effectively. This suggested that the S<sub>2</sub> pocket of J-4 is much narrower than those of PCP or XCP.

By employing two series of chromogenic substrates, the substrate specificities of three bacterial enzymes, PCP, XCP, and J-4 were elucidated in detail. On the basis of the  $K_m$  and  $k_{cat}/K_m$  values for the two series, the new series was found to consist of generally better substrates for PCP and J-4 than the earlier series. This may be because the P<sub>2</sub> Lys residue is rejected electrostatically by PCP and J-4. XCP cleaved the substrates with Lys at the P<sub>2</sub> position more effectively than PCP. As described above, PCP and XCP showed unique substrate specificities at the P<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>' positions, respectively, compared to aspartic proteinases reported so far. It was suggested that the interaction of the S<sub>2</sub> subsite of PCP with the P<sub>2</sub> position of the substrates was hydrophobic, as in the case of cathepsin D and E. On the other hand, the hydrophobic interaction of the S<sub>2</sub> subsite of XCP with the P<sub>2</sub> position of the substrates was less much important than for PCP and many aspartic proteinases. In addition, the P<sub>2</sub> Pro derivative of the new series was acceptable to XCP, similar to J-4. This is one of the unique features of XCP and J-4.

Thus PCP and XCP differed considerably in substrate specificity. The S<sub>2</sub>' subsite of PCP and XCP may consist of hydrophilic residues, similar to that of J-4. This characteristic is very different from those of aspartic proteinases reported so far and appears to be a common feature of

pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases from prokaryotes.

Further information on the subsite structures of PCP and XCP will be obtained by X-ray crystal structure analyses in the near future. Analysis of the three-dimensional structure of PCP is in progress.

It was recently reported that normal brain has a pepstatin-insensitive lysosomal carboxyl proteinase related to a fatal neurodegenerative disease, classical late-infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (LINCL) (55). Sequence comparisons showed significant sequence similarities between this lysosomal enzyme, PCP, and XCP. This is the first demonstration of a pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase in mammals.

We hope this study will contribute to the understanding of pepstatin-insensitive lysosomal enzyme related to lysosomal storage disease.

The authors are grateful to D. Bhatt, G. Bloom, J. Westling, and J. Zalatoris, for their assistance in the kinetic studies.

## REFERENCES

- Murao, S. and Oda, K. (1985) Pepstatin-insensitive acid proteinases in *Aspartic Proteinases and Their Inhibitors* (Kostka, V., ed.) pp. 379-399, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin
- Oda, K. and Murao, S. (1991) Pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases in *Structure and Function of the Aspartic Proteinases. Genetics, Structures, and Mechanisms* (Dunn, B.M., ed.) pp. 185-201, Plenum Press, New York
- Oda, K., Takahashi, S., Shin, T., and Murao, S. (1995) Pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases in *Aspartic Proteinases: Structure, Function, Biology, and Biochemical Implications* (Takahashi, K., ed.) pp. 529-542, Plenum Press, New York
- Oda, K., Takahashi, S., Ito, M., and Dunn, B.M. (1998) Pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases from prokaryotes in *Aspartic Proteinase* (James, M., ed.) pp. 349-353, Plenum Press, New York
- Umezawa, H., Aoyagi, T., Morishima, H., Matsuzaki, M., Hamada, M., and Takeuchi, T. (1970) Pepstatin, a new pepsin inhibitor produced by *Actinomyces*. *J. Antibiot.* **23**, 259-262
- Murao, S. and Sato, S. (1970) New pepsin inhibitor (S-PI) from *Streptomyces* EF-44-201. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **34**, 1265-1267
- Tang, J. (1971) Specific and irreversible inactivation of pepsin by substrate-like epoxides. *J. Biol. Chem.* **246**, 4510-4517
- Rajagopalan, T.G., Stein, W.H., and Moore, S. (1966) The inactivation of pepsin by diazoacetyl-norleucine methyl ester. *J. Biol. Chem.* **241**, 4295-4297
- Tang, J., Sepulveda, P., Marciniak, J., Jr., Chen, K.C.S., Huang, W.-Y., Tao, N., Liu, D., and Lanier, J.P. (1973) Amino-acid sequence of porcine pepsin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **70**, 3437-3439
- Kay, J. (1985) Aspartic proteinases and their inhibitors in *Aspartic Proteinases and Their Inhibitors* (Kostka, V., ed.) pp. 1-

- 17, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin
11. Delaney, R., Wong, R.N.S., Meng, G., Wu, N., and Tang, J. (1987) Amino acid sequence of rhizopuspepsin isozyme pI 5. *J. Biol. Chem.* **262**, 1461-1467
  12. Bott, R., Subramanian, E., and Davies, D.R. (1982) Three-dimensional structure of the complex of the *Rhizopus chinensis* carboxyl proteinase and pepstatin at 2.5-Å resolution. *Biochemistry* **21**, 6956-6962
  13. James, M.N.G. and Sielecki, A.R. (1983) Structure and refinement of penicillopepsin at 1.8 Å resolution. *J. Mol. Biol.* **163**, 299-361
  14. Andreeva, N.S., Zdanov, A.S., Gustchina, A.E., and Fedorov, A.A. (1984) Structure of ethanol-inhibited porcine pepsin at 2-Å resolution and binding of the methyl ester of phenylalanyl-diiodotyrosine to the enzyme. *J. Biol. Chem.* **259**, 11353-11365
  15. Pearl, L. and Blundell, T. (1984) The active site of aspartic proteinases. *FEBS Lett.* **174**, 96-101
  16. Murao, S., Oda, K., and Matsudaira, Y. (1972) New acid proteases from *Scytalidium lignicolum* M-133. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **36**, 1647-1650
  17. Murao, S., Oda, K., and Matsudaira, Y. (1973) Isolation and identification of a microorganism which produces non *Streptomyces* pepsin inhibitor and *N*-diazooacetyl-DL-norleucine methylester sensitive acid proteases. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **37**, 1417-1421
  18. Oda, K. and Murao, S. (1974) Purification and some enzymatic properties of acid protease A and B of *Scytalidium lignicolum* ATCC 24568. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **38**, 2435-2444
  19. Oda, K., Torishima, H., and Murao, S. (1986) Purification and characterization of acid proteinase C of *Scytalidium lignicolum* ATCC 24568. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **50**, 651-658
  20. Oda, K., Murao, S., Oka, T., and Morihara, K. (1975) Some physicochemical properties and substrate specificity of acid protease B of *Scytalidium lignicolum* ATCC 24568. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **39**, 477-484
  21. Oda, K., Murao, S., Oka, T., and Morihara, K. (1976) Some physicochemical properties and substrate specificities of acid protease A-1 and A-2 of *Scytalidium lignicolum* ATCC 24568. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **40**, 859-866
  22. Morihara, K., Tsuzuki, H., Murao, S., and Oda, K. (1979) Pepstatin-insensitive acid proteases from *Scytalidium lignicolum*. Kinetic study with synthetic peptides. *J. Biochem.* **85**, 661-668
  23. Morihara, K. (1981) Comparative specificity of microbial acid proteinases in *Proteinases and Their Inhibitors: Structure, Function, and Applied Aspects* (Turk, V. and Vitale, L.J., eds.) pp. 213-222, Mladinska Knjiga-Pergamon Press, Ljubljana, Oxford
  24. Oda, K. and Murao, S. (1976) Action of *Scytalidium lignicolum* acid proteases on insulin B-chain. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **40**, 1221-1225
  25. Majima, E., Oda, K., Murao, S., and Ichishima, E. (1988) Comparative study on the specificities of several fungal aspartic and acidic proteinases towards the tetradecapeptide of a renin substrate. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **52**, 787-793
  26. Maita, T., Nagata, S., Matsuda, G., Maruta, S., Oda, K., Murao, S., and Tsuru, D. (1984) Complete amino acid sequence of *Scytalidium lignicolum* acid protease B. *J. Biochem.* **95**, 465-475
  27. Chang, W.J., Horiuchi, S., Takahashi, K., Yamasaki, M., and Yamada, Y. (1976) Effects of acid protease-specific inhibitors on the acid proteases from *Aspergillus niger* var. *macrosporus*. *J. Biochem.* **80**, 975-981
  28. Oda, K., Terashita, T., Kono, M., and Murao, S. (1981) Occurrence of *Streptomyces* pepsin inhibitor-insensitive carboxyl proteinase in Basidiomycetes. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **45**, 2339-2340
  29. Terashita, T., Oda, K., Kono, M., and Murao, S. (1981) *Streptomyces* pepsin inhibitor-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from *Lentinus edodes*. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **45**, 1937-1943
  30. Terashita, T., Oda, K., Kono, M., and Murao, S. (1984) *Streptomyces* pepsin inhibitor-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from *Ganoderma lucidum*. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **48**, 1029-1035
  31. Kobayashi, H., Kusakabe, I., and Murakami, K. (1985) Purification and characterization of pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from *Polyporus tulipiferae* (*Irpex lacteus*). *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **49**, 2393-2397
  32. Oda, K., Sugutani, M., Fukuhara, K., and Murao, S. (1987) Purification and properties of a pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from a Gram-negative bacterium. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **923**, 463-469
  33. Oda, K., Nakazima, T., Terashita, T., Suzuki, K., and Murao, S. (1987) Purification and properties of an S-PI (pepstatin Ac)-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from a *Xanthomonas* sp. bacterium. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **51**, 3073-3080
  34. Murao, S., Ohkuni, K., Nagano, M., Oda, K., and Shin, T. (1988) A novel thermostable, S-PI (pepstatin Ac)-insensitive acid proteinase from thermophilic *Bacillus* novosp. strain MN-32. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **52**, 1629-1631
  35. Murao, S., Ohkuni, K., Nagano, M., Hirayama, K., Fukuhara, K., Oda, K., Oyama, H., and Shin, T. (1993) Purification and characterization of Kumamolysin, a novel thermostable pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from *Bacillus* novosp. MN-32. *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 349-355
  36. Toogood, H.S., Prescott, M., and Daniel, R.M. (1995) A pepstatin-insensitive aspartic proteinase from a thermophilic *Bacillus* sp. *Biochem. J.* **307**, 783-789
  37. Prescott, M., Peek, K., and Daniel, R.M. (1995) Characterization of a thermostable pepstatin-insensitive acid proteinase from a *Bacillus* sp. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell. Biol.* **27**, 729-739
  38. Oda, K., Fukuda, Y., Murao, S., Uchida, K., and Kainosho, M. (1989) A novel proteinase inhibitor, tyrostatin, inhibiting some pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **53**, 405-415
  39. Oda, K., Nakatani, H., and Dunn, B.M. (1992) Substrate specificity and kinetic properties of pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from *Pseudomonas* sp. No. 101. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1120**, 208-214
  40. Ito, M., Dunn, B.M., and Oda, K. (1996) Substrate specificity of pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinases from gram-negative bacteria. *J. Biochem.* **120**, 845-850
  41. Oda, K., Takahashi, T., Tokuda, Y., Shibano, Y., and Takahashi, S. (1994) Cloning, nucleotide sequence, and expression of an isovaleryl pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase gene from *Pseudomonas* sp. 101. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 26518-26524
  42. Oda, K., Ito, M., Uchida, K., Shibano, Y., Fukuhara, K., and Takahashi, S. (1996) Cloning and expression of an isovaleryl pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase gene from *Xanthomonas* sp. T-22. *J. Biochem.* **120**, 564-572
  43. Hayaashi, K., Izu, H., Oda, K., Fukuhara, K., Matsuo, M., Takano, R., and Hara, S. (1995) The primary structure of pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase produced by *Pseudomonas* sp. No. 101. *J. Biochem.* **118**, 738-744
  44. Nakatani, H., Hiromi, K., Sato, S., Oda, K., Murao, S., and Ichishima, E. (1975) Studies on the interaction between *Streptomyces* pepsin inhibitor and several acid proteinases by means of zinc(II)-dye complex as a probe. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **391**, 415-421
  45. Shibata, M., Dunn, B.M., and Oda, K. (1998) Substrate specificity of pepstatin-insensitive carboxyl proteinase from *Bacillus coagulans* J-4. *J. Biochem.* **124**, 642-647
  46. Dunn, B.M., Jimenez, M., Parten, B.F., Valler, M.J., Rolph, C.E., and Kay, J. (1986) A systematic series of synthetic chromophoric substrates for aspartic proteinases. *Biochem. J.* **237**, 899-906
  47. Dunn, B.M., Scarborough, P.E., Davenport, R., and Swietnicki, W. (1994) Analysis of proteinase specificity by studies of peptide substrates in *Methods in Molecular Biology* (Dunn, B.M. and Pennington, M.W., eds.) Vol. 36, pp. 225-243, Human Press, Totowa, NJ
  48. Schechter, I. and Berger, A. (1967) On the size of the active site in proteases. I. Papain. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **27**, 157-162
  49. Kay, J. and Dunn, B.M. (1992) Substrate specificity and inhibitors of aspartic proteinases. *Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest.* **52**, 23-30

50. Dunn, B.M., Valler, M.J., Rolph, C.E., Foundling, S.I., Jimenez, M., and Key, J. (1987) The pH dependence of the hydrolysis chromogenic substrates of the type, Lys-Pro-Xaa-Yaa-Phe-(NO<sub>2</sub>)Phe-Arg-Leu, by selected aspartic proteinases: evidence for specific interactions in subsites S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* **913**, 122-130
51. Blum, M., Cunningham, A., Bendiner, M., and Hofmann, T. (1985) Penicillopepsin, the aspartic proteinase from *Penicillium Janthinellum*: substrate-binding effects and intermediates in transpeptidation reactions. *Biochem. Soci. Trans.* **13**, 1044-1046
52. Rao, C., Guruprasad, K., Batley, B., Rapundalo, S., Hill, J., Blundell, T., Kay, J., and Dunn, B.M. (1995) Exploring the binding preferences/specificity in the active site of human cathepsin E. *Proteins* **22**, 168-181
53. Scarborough, P.E., Guruprasad, K., Topham, C., Richo, G.R., Conner, G.E., Blundell, T.L., and Dunn, B.M. (1993) Exploration of subsite binding specificity of human cathepsin D through kinetics and rule-based molecular modeling. *Protein Sci.* **2**, 264-276
54. Rao, C. and Dunn, B.M. (1995) Evidence for electrostatic interactions in the S<sub>2</sub> subsite of porcine pepsin in *Aspartic Proteinases: Structure, Function, Biology, and Biochemical Implications* (Takahashi, K., ed.) pp. 91-94, Plenum Press, New York
55. Sleat, D.E., Donnelly, R.J., Lackland, H., Liu, C., Sohar, I., Pillarkat, R.K., and Lobel, P. (1997) Association of mutations in a lysosomal protein with classical late-infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis. *Science* **277**, 1802-1805